ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA474514 05/24/2012

Filing date:

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92051465
Party	Defendant Edge Games, Inc., and Future Publishing, Ltd.
Correspondence Address	TIM LANGDELL EDGE GAMES INC 530 SOUTH LAKE AVENUE, 171 PASADENA, CA 91101 UNITED STATES uspto@edgegames.com
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	Tim Langdell
Filer's e-mail	ttab@edgegames.com
Signature	/Tim Langdell/
Date	05/24/2012
Attachments	92051465_Motion to Suspend.pdf ( 3 pages )(36030 bytes )

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In the Matter of Registration No. 3,559,342 For the Trademark THE EDGE Issued January 13, 2009

In the Matter of Registration No. 3,381,826 For the Trademark GAMER'S EDGE Issued February 12, 2008

In the Matter of Registration No. 3,105,816 For the Trademark EDGE Issued June 20, 2006

In the Matter of Registration No. 2,251,584 For the Trademark CUTTING EDGE Issued June 8, 1999

In the Matter of Registration No. 2,219,837 For the Trademark EDGE Issued January 26, 1999

EA DIGITAL ILLUSIONS CE AB, a Swedish
Corporation; ELECTRONIC ARTS INC., a
Delaware corporation,

Petitioners,

v.

EDGE GAMES, INC., a California corporation
and Future Publishing Ltd, a UK company

Co-Defendants.

MOTION TO SUSPEND PROCEEDINGS PENDING OUTCOME OF MOTION BEFORE CIVIL COURT

Cancellation No. 92051465

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1451 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1451

#### MOTION TO SUSPEND PROCEEDINGS

NOW COMES Co-Defendant/Co-Registrant, EDGE Games Inc. ("Edge Games"), and moves through this motion that the instant cancellations proceedings be suspended pending the outcome of the "Motion to Confirm Judgment and Order as Void" filed in Federal Court in the proceedings brought against Petitioner Electronic Arts, Inc ("EA"). by Edge Games.

### **FACTS**

As Edge Games notified the Board in its filing dated May 17, 2012 (Docket# 74), Edge Games has filed a motion with the District Court under FRCP 60(b)(4) to have the Civil Court confirm the Judgment and Final Order referenced in this matter deemed void. Edge Games filing of said motion is valid since a party in Pro Se may file a motion. Edge Games must be represented by counsel at any *hearing* of the motion, though, and of course Edge Games will have such representation.

### <u>ARGUMENT</u>

It is the policy of the Board to suspend proceedings when the parties are involved in a civil action which may be dispositive of or have a bearing on the Board case (*see* Trademark Rule 2.117(a)). Consequently, Registrant requests that the instant cancellation proceedings be suspended pending the final disposition of the motion filed in the civil court in regard to the civil action between Edge Games and EA.

While the Board asked that Edge Games filed the motion in question within 20 days of its March 30, 2012 decision, that short timing proved to be unreasonable and impossible for Edge Games to perform to. Edge Games pursued the possibility of gaining further relief from the District Court Order with all possible speed, and was only just able

to discern in the past week what motion might be filed, and where it might be filed (before the District Court or the Court of Appeal). Consequently, since the outcome of this motion will be dispositive of the instant proceedings, Edge Games asks that the Board not hold it to the 20 day deadline that was indicated, and that the Board accept the motion filed as it was – as swiftly as Edge Games could do so under the circumstances – as acceptable. And that the Board do now suspend the proceedings pending the outcome of the motion.

Clearly, the outcome of the new court motion is key to these proceedings: the sole reason the Board is considering cancellation of the registrations in question is because the Board feels it must act on the Court's Order. Yet, clearly, if Edge Game's new motion prevails – as all Supreme Court decisions on the issue of void orders state it must – then the Court's Order will be void and the Board should not act on it and should never have acted on it. As Edge Games previously informed the Board (Docket #74), it will supply a conformed copy of the filed motion as soon as the District Court provides same to Edge Games. Since Edge Games has no access to the electronic PACER system, was restricted to filing via mail and to receiving confirmation of filing via mail, too.

Date: May 24, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

Dr. Tim Langdell, CEO

EDGE Games, Inc.

Co-Defendant in *Pro Se* 

530 South Lake Avenue, 171

Pasadena, CA 91101

Telephone: 626 449 4334 Facsimile: 626 844 4334

Email: ttab@edgegames.com